To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com

Sent: Fri 11/9/2012 9:09:07 PM Subject: RE: Returning your email

ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogC.pdf

http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA ReportOnPavillion Dec-8-2011.pdf

ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/DailyLogsFromDrillersJunSep2010.pdf

 $\underline{\text{ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogE.pdf}$ 

adam.voge@trib.com www.trib.com image001.gif

Thanks.

Let me know what we are able to clarify or talk about today. I'm definitely interested in the nature of the diesel spill seemingly indicated below and why that wasn't in the draft report, and then whether there actually was antifreeze or cement spilled (referred to as "accusations," it appears).

Adam Voge

Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune

307-266-0561

From: Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 12:58 PM

To: Adam Voge

Subject: Re: Returning your email

Thanks, Adam-- looking into these. These are clarifies that would need to come from field staff, including folks in our lab in Oklahomathat aren't in the office today.

Richard Mylott
Public Affairs Specialist
Office of Communications and Public Involvement
U.S.Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
Phone: 303-312-6654

Adam Voge ---11/09/2012 11:38:46 AM---Richard, The reply button sent my response to a different address - just wanted to be sure this got

From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>

To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 11/09/2012 11:38 AM Subject: Returning your email

## Richard.

The reply button sent my response to a different address – just wanted to be sure this got through. Here's what I sent:

I was looking through the field notes from the Pavillion well installation and saw a couple things which I'd like some clarification on.

ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogC.pdf

On the above link, first page, bottom-right quadrant, there's a passage that appears to say "diesel fuel lost from fitting, repaired."

In the original EPA draft report, http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA\_ReportOnPavillion\_Dec-8-2011.pdf, it said this:

"There were no incidents of fuel spillage used to power pumps and generators."

## Next, this link:

ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/DailyLogsFromDrillersJunSep2010.pd f

If you scroll about three-quarters of the way down to Aug. 17, there's a passage where it talks about investigation accusations of antifreeze and cement spills (or at least that's what it looks like).

Last, this: ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogE.pdf About halfway down, Aug. 18 notes, there's a mention of a "clean up effort."

Can we talk on the phone about this? That's definitely my preference with something like this. I'm just trying to figure out what these different passages mean.

For the record, this email is typically a better way to contact me. The other is a personal address tied to my cell phone, which is great when I'm out of the office.

Thanks,

Adam Voge
Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune
(307) 266-0561 | adam.voge@trib.com
Twitter: @vogeCST
www.trib.com